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Ibn Nafis and the early description of the role of coronary arteries in blood supply of the heart
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Dear Editor,

Ibn Nafis, a Syrian surgeon and physician was born in Al-Qarsh near Damascus in 1210 AD (Fig. 1). He studied medicine in his homeland under supervision of Ibn-Essuri and then went to Cairo. He found al-Mansauri hospital and also became dean of the Natural School of al-Salahi hospital, a position he held until he died in 1288 AD. He wrote about twenty medical books during his scientific life [1,2].

In the mid-20th century when his book, “Sharh-e Tashrieh-e Qanun [Commentary on Anatomy of Canon]” was discovered in the archives of the Prussian State Library in Berlin in 1924, he became famous because it was believed he was the originator of pulmonary circulation [3,4]. Although nowadays we know he was not the originator of this theory and it was presented by his predecessors like Akhawayni (?–983 AD) [5] and rooted in ancient Persian medicine [6], he had other novel theories in cardiology which were unique in that time [7].

Ibn Nafis doubt Avicenna’s theories on blood supplying of the heart in his “Commentary on Anatomy of Canon” book. He wrote in this book: “His [Avicenna’s] statement that the blood that is in the right side nourishes the heart is not true at all, for nourishment of the heart is actually from the blood that goes through the vessels that permeate the body of the heart” [8]. It is the first reported document on the role of coronary arteries in the history of cardiology.

In medieval Persia, the paradigm of medicine was based on humoral theory (a holistic paradigm). In this view, anatomy was not too important and health and disease were belonging to balance and imbalance of four humors. Therefore, most of physicians like Avicenna (980–1032) did not attention to this field and mostly followed Galen and copied his anatomical parts including his mistakes (like three ventricle in heart, existing pores in the heart septum, etc) in their books. Some others like Akhawayni and Haly Abbas (949–982 AD) accessed ancient Persian medical texts and therefore in some cases used their right theories (in contrast of false Greek theories) like

Fig. 1. An imaginary picture of Ibn Nafis when autopsied.
pulmonary circulation [6] and the role of uterus contractions in normal vaginal delivery [9]. Only few physicians like Mansur (1380–1422 AD) [10] and Ibn Nafis had great attentions to anatomy and had more new innovations and findings in this field than others.
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